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## Perceptron Review

- Weight vector $w$, initially set to all-0 vector
- Initial hypothesis: $h(x)=\operatorname{sign}(w \cdot x)$


## Perceptron Review

- Weight vector $w$, initially set to all-0 vector
- Initial hypothesis: $h(x)=\operatorname{sign}(w \cdot x)$
- Given an example $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and its label $c(x)=\operatorname{sign}(v \cdot x)$,
- If $h(x)=c(x)$ then no update is performed
- If $h(x) \neq c(x)$ then $w$ is updated by setting $w_{\text {new }}$ to $w+c(x) x$ Example: False positive: $h(x)=1, c(x)=-1 \Longrightarrow w_{\text {new }}=w-x$ $(w-x) \cdot x=w \cdot x-x \cdot x<w \cdot x$


## Motivation

What if the data's not linearly separable?

- We can try representing it in a higher dimension!
- But this can be computationally expensive :(

To deal with this, we can use kernel functions.

## Definition

## Definition

A kernel is a function $K(x, y)$ such that for some mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$, $K(x, y)=\phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$.
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## Definition

A kernel is a function $K(x, y)$ such that for some mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$, $K(x, y)=\phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$.

Suppose $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\phi(x), \phi(y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, n<N$. If $N$ is very large, just writing down $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(y)$ or computing $\phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$ can take an enormous amount of time.

## Definition

## Definition

A kernel is a function $K(x, y)$ such that for some mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$, $K(x, y)=\phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$.

Suppose $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\phi(x), \phi(y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, n<N$. If $N$ is very large, just writing down $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(y)$ or computing $\phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$ can take an enormous amount of time.

Since $K(x, y)$ is a function of $x$ and $y$ (which are in $n$ ), we can potentially compute the desired value much faster by using this kernel function!

## Example

Say the decision boundary for our data is some kind of ellipse with equation $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{2} x_{1} x_{2}$.

This equation isn't linear in $x_{1}, x_{2}$. To deal with this, create a mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \phi(x)=\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, \sqrt{2} x_{1} x_{2}\right)$.


## Example

Say the decision boundary for our data is some kind of ellipse with equation $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{2} x_{1} x_{2}$.

This equation isn't linear in $x_{1}, x_{2}$. To deal with this, create a mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \phi(x)=\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, \sqrt{2} x_{1} x_{2}\right)$.

Suppose we have $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ and we want to compute $K(a, b)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(a) \cdot \phi(b) & =\left(a_{1}^{2}, a_{2}^{2}, \sqrt{2} a_{1} a_{2}\right) \cdot\left(b_{1}^{2}, b_{2}^{2}, \sqrt{2} b_{1} b_{2}\right) \\
& =a_{1}^{2} b_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} b_{2}^{2}+2 a_{1} a_{2} b_{1} b_{2} \\
& =\left(a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =(a \cdot b)^{2} \\
& =K(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dual Perceptron

- Formulates our Perceptron algorithm in a slightly different way
- Replaces hypothesis vector with a new collection of examples where the algorithm has made a mistake
- Allows for algorithm to only depend on taking inner products between examples in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
- We can apply kernel functions!


## Dual Perceptron

Suppose we are at an intermediate step in dual Perceptron.

- Algorithm has made $k$ mistakes so far, on examples $x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
- Corresponding labels $c\left(x_{i_{1}}\right), c\left(x_{i_{2}}\right), \ldots, c\left(x_{i_{k}}\right) \in\{-1,1\}$


## Hypothesis vector

$$
w=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) x_{i_{j}}
$$

## Dual Perceptron

## Hypothesis vector

$$
w=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) x_{i_{j}}
$$

$w \cdot X$

$$
w \cdot x=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) x_{i_{j}}\right) \cdot x=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) x_{i_{j}} \cdot x
$$

This means that we only ever need to compute inner products between examples $x_{i j}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ in order to able to compute $w \cdot x$.

## Kernelized Dual Perceptron

- Running dual Perceptron over higher dimensional $\mathbb{R}^{N}$
- Using kernel function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ for inner product computations


## Kernelized Dual Perceptron

Suppose we are at an intermediate step in kernelized dual Perceptron.

- Algorithm has made $k$ mistakes so far, on examples $x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
- Label examples according to $c(x)=\operatorname{sign}(v \cdot \phi(x))$ (note that $v$ is a $N$-dimensional vector)


## Hypothesis vector

$$
w=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) \phi\left(x_{i_{j}}\right)
$$

## Kernelized Dual Perceptron

## Hypothesis vector

$$
w=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) \phi\left(x_{i_{j}}\right)
$$

## $w \cdot \phi(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
w \cdot \phi(x) & =\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) \phi\left(x_{i_{j}}\right)\right) \cdot \phi(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) \phi\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) \cdot \phi(x) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) K\left(x_{i_{j}}, x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Kernelized Dual Perceptron

$w \cdot \phi(x)$

$$
w \cdot \phi(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(x_{i j}\right) K\left(x_{i j}, x\right)
$$

This can be computed efficiently if $K$ can be computed efficiently! Note that we never have to explicitly write down the high-dimensional vector $w$ while running kernelized dual Perceptron.
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